

November 28, 2006

Sent via email

Eric Johnson U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progre

Progress report for October 2006 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA

ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the October 2006 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)

John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)



November 28, 2006

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe P.O. Box 448 Santa Clara, Utah 84765

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs Phoenix Area Office U.S. Department of Interior P.O. Box 10 Phoenix, AZ 85001

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for October 2006 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the September 2006 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at palader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S) (w/o attachments)
Eric Johnson (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 30 for period ending October 31, 2006; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington

County, Utah

Summary

The tenth visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on October 22. No unusual conditions were noted, minor ponding due to precipitation was noted on top of cover. The August 18 repair work on the flow channel on the east side of the pond is functioning well.

The settlement monuments were surveyed in September - no appreciable settlement has been noted.

Discussion

1. Surface Monitor Results To Date – Since monitoring of the top surface began (Jan 4, 2006), there has been no appreciable movement in the surface monuments at the Apex site.

Work Planned for Next Period

- 1. Visual inspection of site.
- 2. Settlement monument survey will take place quarterly basis December.

Sampling and Analysis in Period

Field Tests, Inspections & QA/QC

1. The tenth post closure site inspection was done on October 22; a copy of the inspection report is included in the Supplemental Attachments section.

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in October 2006 were approximately \$177. Total project to date committed is approximately \$1,240,000. The cost report for October is attached.

Current status of the deliverables listed in the RCRA 7003 order is as follows:

Reference Paragraph	Due	Remarks
57	15 days after effective date of order	Work completed on March 9, 2004
63	45 days after receipt of filing of order	Work started on February 23, 2004
64	28 th day after close of month	Requirement in effect after order is filed.
66	30 days after completion of all closure plan tasks	Construction completion report submitted on 3/13/2006. A follow-up report to be issued after end of monitoring period.
	63 64	Paragraph 57 15 days after effective date of order 63 45 days after receipt of filing of order 64 28 th day after close of month 66 30 days after completion of all closure plan

The update of the schedule milestones is on the following table:

Milestone	Target	Actual	Remarks
Issue bid package – Phase I (Sump Drains)	6/14/04	6/15/04	Portion of RFP materials issued at pre- bid on 6/14/04; remainder sent via courier
Issue RFP package Phase III	6/24/04	6/24/04	
Award contract for Phase I	6/24/04	6/29/04	Date contract was shipped to Hughes
Pre-bid meeting - Phase III	7/19/04	7/19/04	
Start Phase I (Sump Drains) construction	7/12/04	7/19/04	
Start Phase II (Evaporation)	7/19/04	7/29/04	
Receive bids for Phase III	8/2/04	8/2/04	
Re-bid Phase III contract package	April 2005	4/27/05	Date bid package was sent to Hughes
Start Phase III construction	End of August 2005	8/29/05	Start of contractor mobilization
Complete Phase III construction	Dec 23rd 2005	12/23/05	Completion of contract scope of work
Issue Construction Completion Report	Week of 3/13/2006	3/13/06	

Supplemental Attachments

- 1. October 22, 2006 long term monitoring inspection report, by D. Truman.
- 2. October Cost Report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: 10-	22-0	<i>56</i>		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Inspector:	1)mj	Truman		
Cover System Component	Pe	otential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion o	or Fencing Issues	NA NA	NA
	Subsidenc		Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes 2 No
	Juvanueri		A NA Inor: ponding < 1° some gullying / erosion Ignificant: see Table 2 Yes ' No Recessive movement or surface cracks > than Yes ' No Popth > 1° Popth > 2° Yes ' No NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	
	Embankm	nent Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes ' No <u>X</u>
		on top	depth > 1"	Van + Na X
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	
Inspector: Cover System Component Site Perimeter Cover System	Gullying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes No 🔀
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes . No . No
Cover System Component Site Perimeter Cover System (outslapes, top, rock)		In diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
	Erosion P	Protection Stability	rock subsiding or missing	Yes * No 🗸
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes No 🗞
	Diversion (Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes V· No
	Diversion §	Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes *V* No
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes ≿* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

		Inspec	tion Dat	e: <i> 0</i>	1-22	-06	^			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································	
			Inspection Date: 10-22-06 Inspector: Trumbu Visible Outlying Areas May require repair: Yes * No Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence line) And the second of the secon										
				٧	/isible	Outlyir	ng Area	S					
Observed Condition:	All	fer-	* ^	ant '	3172	^ ·	q.	15	zood	mo	Pres		
Observed Damage:	Nov						·			-			
									May	require re	epair: Yes	<u> </u>	مد ه
	-		Propert	y Boung	lary Fe	nce an	d Gate	(walk fer	ce line)				
Observed Condition:	Ni	Bud	sper	WITH	4	vee	h 45	7 44					
Observed Damage:	NH	•		,	***								
Potential Corrective Actions:						•							
									May	require re	pair: Yes	• N	۰ 👱
			All line	radient /	Areae /	areas I	hat drain	n onto pr		·····			
Oboresia	<u></u>		Sance					· onto pi		7 6,	<u>ار</u> ما	2	
Observed Condition:	pond	nen	Jane	- Mil		Por	whing			7 87	~~ , • <i>,</i>	-	:
Observed Damage:		······································	·									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
									May	require re	pair: Yes	No)

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pong 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

	Inspection Date: 10-22-04	
	Outslopes	
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence: Yes No	May require repair: Yes / No Ka
. •	Excessive Slope Movement (failure): Yes No	May require repair; Yes* No _x
	Gully Development: Yes No 1	May require repair: Yes* No 🔏
	Observable Leachate (colored): Yes No 😼	May require repair: Yes* No y
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes * No y
Observed N Damage:	'n'	
Potential Corrective Actions:		
	Top (top surface soils)	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No*
·	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No 🍎
· 	Erosion / Gullying: Yes No y	May require repair: Yes No
Observed S Damage:	um minu ponting on NE gilo.	(NO problem)
Potential Corrective Actions:		
	Erosion Protection Layer (rock)	
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place: Yes Vo	May require repair: Yes No
	Rock Subsiding: Yes No 4	, May require repair: Yes No
	Missing Rock: Yes No ¥	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed N 6 Damage:	**	
Potential Corrective Actions:	•	

mark all areas or concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

···			
Date:	127 66 - Thomas		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes X No	May require repair: Yes* No 🛕
	Normal Flow Channel in place	: Yes X No	May require repair: Yes No K
·	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No Xi	May require repair: Yes* No 💥
Observed No Damage:	o Asserved dos	mag.	
Potential Corrective Actions:	Torr		
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes 🔰 No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes No 🏏	May require repair: Yes* No
	Head Cutting:	Yes No ¥	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	Trap Lab good	,	
Potential Corrective Actions:	rone		
			·

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

·				-				
			www.					
Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 10-31-06	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete	
ases I through III (Completed February 2006)								
hase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928		
hases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882		
hase ill - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742		
ield Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378.517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs	
							·	•
lecia Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324		
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393		
					-			
ng Term Monitoring (through FY 2010) lite Inspections			177	1,101	3,584	4,685		
ettlement Monitoring	**************************************		177			10,125		
onsultant Support:								
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections			0			20,595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis	
Vegetation Monitoring			0	L	20,000	20,000	Allowance for surveys in FY 2007, 2009 and 2010	
Site Conditions Review - MEI Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical								
Site Conditions Heview - SAF Augistical	***************************************		<u> </u>	891	·····			
Apintenance:								
Erosion Repair Allowance			<u> </u>			7,500		
Overseeding Allowance			0	0	9,920	9,920		
lecia Project Management Costs:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Labor Travel expenses	·		0			10,175 1,312		•
Traver experises				0	1,312	1,312		
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	177	13,089	75,275	84,312		
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	177	1,240,482	75,275	1,311,705		
•								